





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/008,210  | 11/08/2001    | Masaaki Iwasaki      | 21334-1089              | 2163             |
| 75  | 90 09/25/2002 |                      |                         |                  |
| Tyco Technology Resources Suite 450 4550 New Linden Hill Road |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|   |               |                      | VU, HIEN D              |                  |
| Wilmington, DE 19808  |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 2833                    | 2833             |
|   |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |   |   | De            |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|---------------|--|--|
|  |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |               |  |  |
|  |   | 10/008,210  | IWASAKI, MASAAH   | CI            |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |               |  |  |
|  |   | Hien D. Vu  | 2833  |               |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communica  | ation appears on the cover she  | t with the correspondence add   | ress          |  |  |
| Period fo  | • •   |   |   |               |  |  |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL ISSUED STATES OF THIS COMMUNICAL ISSUED STATES OF THIS COMMUNICAL ISSUED STATES OF THE MONTHS FROM the mailing date of this communication of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse to reply within the set or extended period for reply with eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) II. by statute, cause the application to beco | nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication.  |  |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed  | d on  |   |               |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b  | o)⊠ This action is non-final.   |   |               |  |  |
| 3)   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |               |  |  |
| •  | on of Claims  |   |   |               |  |  |
| -  | Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the app   |   |   |               |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are  | withdrawn from consideration  | l.  |               |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |               |  |  |
| •  | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |               |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |               |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers  | on and/or election requiremen   | <b>t.</b>   |               |  |  |
| 9) 🔲 .   | The specification is objected to by the I   | Examiner.   |   |               |  |  |
| 10) 🔲 -  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a   | )□ accepted or b)□ objected to  | by the Examiner.  |               |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any object   |   |   |               |  |  |
| 11) 🔲 .  | The proposed drawing correction filed o   |   | disapproved by the Examine  | r.            |  |  |
|  | If approved, corrected drawings are requ  | • •   |   |               |  |  |
| <i>,</i> —   | The oath or declaration is objected to b  | by the Examiner.  |   |               |  |  |
| •  | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |   |               |  |  |
| ,—   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  | or foreign priority under 35 U.S  | S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |               |  |  |
| a)[  | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |   |   |               |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority do  |   |   |               |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority do  |   |   |               |  |  |
| * S  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internative the attached detailed Office action   | tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)   | (a)).   | Зtage         |  |  |
| 14) 🗌 A  | acknowledgment is made of a claim for   | domestic priority under 35 U.   | S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional   | application). |  |  |
|  | ) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang<br>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for  |   |   |               |  |  |
| Attachmen  | _   | , ,   |   |               |  |  |
| 1) Notic   | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap  | D-948) 5) Notice  | view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s<br>ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC<br>r:   |               |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 10/008,210

Art Unit: 2833

- 1. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: page 7, lines 14-17, the phrases "next, the alignment plate 100 ... plate portion 104" are not understood; lines 19 and 22, the terms used for elements "110" are not consistent; page 11, lines 3 and 5, the terms used for element<sub>5</sub>"48" are not consistent; line 11, "portions? 6" is not understood.
- 2. The disclosure should also be carefully reviewed to ensure that any and all grammatical, diomatic and spelling or other minor errors are corrected.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 4, it is unclear what part of the connector has at least one contact; lines 7-8 "these mounting portions" are unclear; line 9, "the contacts" lacks an antecedent basis; line 10, it is unclear how the contacts could move relative to the housing along the surface of the circuit board; lines 11-14, the features "the attachment portions... the mounting portions" are not understood.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/008,210

Art Unit: 2833

这个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是 第一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 5. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choy.

Insofar as the claims can be understood due to the indefiniteness above, the disclosure of Choy shows each and every element set forth in the claims. For example: Figs. 1-2 show an insulating housing 12, a circuit board 100, contacts 19, two flat-plate metal attachment members 26 having mounting portions and attachment portions (not labeled), the attachment portions being connected with the mounting portions.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choy in view of Spangler.

Choy does not show the mounting portions each having a base portion and a pair of arms extending from the base portion. Spangler, Figs. 5 and 7 show metal attachment members 15 each having attachment portions 76, a mounting portion 52 including a base portion (55, 54) having a pair of arms 70. It would have been obvious to one with skill in the art to modify the connector of Choy by replacing the metal attachment members with a type of metal attachment

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/008,210

Art Unit: 2833

members that have the features as described above, as taught by Spangler in order to provide the attachment members with better security on the printed circuit board.

- 9. Choy et al, Noble, Little et al, Kinsey, Jr. et al and Tanj et al are cited for disclosure of mounting devices for board mount connectors.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hien Vu at telephone number (703) 308-2009.

H. Vu/mm

09/12/02

では、100mmの

Hien Vu Primary Examiner

Him Clu